Case 5:05-cr-00762-JF Document 4 Filed 11/29/05 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number (R-05-70950 PV)
Jose Prado , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act 18 II	S.C. § 3142(f) a detention bearing was hold on 11/20
Defendant was present represented by his attorney	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on, 20
Assistant U.S. Attorney 5. Knight	The Officed States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 II S C 8 3	142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense and a period of not more than five (5) years ha	s elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person fr
imprisonment, whichever is later.	s crapsed since the date of conviction of the release of the person in
	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of any other person and the community.	to condition of combination of conditions will reasonably assure to
	ediatment) (the feets found in Dort IV hallow) to 1 1 1 1 1 1
defendant has committed an offense	dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
A. for which a maximum term of imr	prisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. 3 8 1 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., O	
1 10117 (0 0 001/)	~
This establishes a rebuttable programation that	a firearm during the commission of a felony. NOV 2 9 2005 to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of	
No presumption applies.	of the community. NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT SAN JOSE LE
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABL	SAN JOSE CALIFORN
will be ordered detained.	any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefo
/ / The defendant has come forward with evide	ence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Unit	ted States
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED)	
	grance of the evidence that no condition or combination of condition
vill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant a	s required AND/OP
	convincing evidence that no condition or combination of condition
rill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and	
ART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMEN	
t hearing and finds as follows: The delegation	s set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitte
s /3 3/a M/4 is 4/as days	is charged with a Violatine of 8 4
Do Alleria (1) to Management of M	a has one selving conversion for aux
wowens account a faile.	He has two mis centeria correction
and the state of t	. and maryuana. He also hasa
unote violation	
/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have	received white C. Ji.
ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	waived written indings.
	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in

of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 11/29/05
AUSA _______, PTS _____

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge